

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 205-6560
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
BERNARD TARUC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

BERNARD TARUC,
Plaintiff,

vs.

SWEET & COZY FURNITURE INC; 717
NOGALES LLC; and DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff BERNARD TARUC ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants SWEET &
COZY FURNITURE INC; 717 NOGALES LLC; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and
alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers
from paraplegia and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the
use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a furniture store (“Business”) located at or about 719 Nogales St., City of Industry, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*).

8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

1 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
2 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
3 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

4 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

5 10. In or about March of 2025, Plaintiff went to the Business.

6 11. The Business is a furniture store business establishment, open to the public,
7 and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.
8 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

9 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
10 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
11 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

12 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
13 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 14 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
15 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
16 failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the
17 International Symbol of Accessibility.
- 18 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
19 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
20 failed to post required signage such as "Van Accessible," "Minimum
21 Fine \$250" and "Unauthorized Parking."
- 22 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
23 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
24 failed to provide an access aisle with level surface slope, as there was
25 a permanent ramp installed within the boundaries of the accessible
26 aisle.

27 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the
28 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and

1 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
2 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are
3 removed.

4 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
5 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
6 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
7 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

8 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
9 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
10 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

11 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
12 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
13 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
14 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
15 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
16 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

17 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

19 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
20 paragraphs in this complaint.

21 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
22 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
23 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
24 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
25 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

26 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 27 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
28 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such

1 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
2 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
3 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
4 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
5 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

6 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
7 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
8 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
9 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
10 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
11 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
12 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
13 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

14 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
15 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
16 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
17 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
18 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
19 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
20 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

21 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
22 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
23 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
24 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
25 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
26 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
27 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
28 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the

1 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
2 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
3 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

4 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
5 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
6 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
7 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
8 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
9 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

10 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
11 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
12 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
13 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
14 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
15 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
16 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
17 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
18 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
19 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

20 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
21 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
22 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
23 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
24 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
25 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
26 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s expense...”
27 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

1 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with
2 the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the
3 signs stating, “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed
4 to provide the additional sign with the specific language stating “Unauthorized vehicles
5 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special
6 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s
7 expense...”

8 25. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level
9 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.
10 Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles
11 shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply
12 with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked
13 vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces
14 and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all
15 directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

16 26. Here, the access aisle is not level with the parking spaces, as there was a
17 permanent ramp installed within the boundaries of the accessible aisle. Under the 2010
18 Standards, access aisles shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve.
19 Changes in level are not permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. “Access aisles are required
20 to be nearly level in all directions to provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles.”
21 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. Id. No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted.

22 27. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
23 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
24 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

25 28. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
26 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the ADA and its related
27 regulations.

1 29. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
2 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
3 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
4 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
5 by individuals with disabilities.

6 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

8 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
9 paragraphs in this complaint.

10 31. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this
11 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
12 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
13 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
14 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
15 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

16 32. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
17 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
18 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
19 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
20 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
21 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
22 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

23 33. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any
24 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
25 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

26 34. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
27 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
28 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.

1 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
2 51 and 52.

3 35. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
4 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
5 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

6 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

8 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
9 paragraphs in this complaint.

10 37. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
11 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
12 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
13 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
14 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
15 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
16 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
17 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
18 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
19 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

20 38. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
21 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
22 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
23 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
24 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
25 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
26 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
27 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
28 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

1 39. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
2 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
3 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
4 the access of any person in violation of that act.

5 40. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
6 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
7 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
8 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

9 41. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
10 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
11 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

12 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

14 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 43. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
17 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each
18 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
19 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
20 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

21 44. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
22 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
23 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
24 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
25 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
26 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
27 persons with disabilities.
28

1 45. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
2 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
3 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
4 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
5 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
6 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
7 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
8 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

9 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **NEGLIGENCE**

11 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13 47. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
14 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
15 to the Plaintiff.

16 48. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
17 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

18 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
19 has suffered damages.

20 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
22 Defendants as follows:

23 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
24 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

25 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
26 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

27 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants’ unfair competition
28 practices;

1 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
2 action;

3 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

4 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

5 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

6 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
7 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

8
9 Dated: June 13, 2025

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

10
11
12 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
13 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28